APPENDIX C Implementation Monitoring Form (3/2/15)

Forest: Eldorado National Forest	District: PACT FIC	County: E(Dorado	
Observers: VINCE PACIFIC.	Tim Merter. Blake Engelhandt	Date: 6/13/15 Time: 1430	
Number and/or name of road or trail:	barrett 4WD	UTM:	
Meadow or Aquatic Feature reference number:			
Current Conditions			
Current weather: 5005			
Has it rained or has snow melted recently? If so, describe event (estimated rainfall amount, duration of storm, etc.):			
rained ~ I week age moderate Amount & duration			
Wetness of meadow or aquatic feature (Select the best answer at the time of the survey) Wery wet (Standing or flowing surface water present in all or a large portion of feature). Moderately wet (Surface water in part of feature and/or ground surface wet in most of feature). Slightly wet (No surface water. Ground surface dry in most of feature). Mostly dry (No surface water. Ground surface dry in entire feature). Dry (No surface water. Ground surface dry in entire feature).			
Assessment of Corrective Action			
What corrective actions were implemented? Select and fill out appropriate sections below. Rolling dip/waterbar Sediment basin/trap Gravel/rock reinforcement			
Drivable ford Trail re-route other (describe in section below)			
Rolling dip/waterbar Is water effectively routed off the trail? Are rills or gullies present? Yes If yes, for what length? Are they introducing sediment to the Does the rolling dip/waterbar need maint If yes, describe:	Yes No No e aquatic feature? Yes No enance or reconstruction? Yes	Comments: All effective	
		No	
Gravel/rock placement Has the gravel/rock remained in place? Yes No Is there bare ground present where rock/gravel was placed? Yes No If yes, how much? <10% 25% 50% >75% Is additional gravel/rock necessary? Yes No If yes, describe:		Comments:	

Implementation Monitoring Form (3/2/15) BATTEH # 6

Drivable ford	Comments
At the time of the survey, is water present? Yes No Has any part of the hardened approach failed? Yes No	ford is not
If yes, describe:	impacting Natural
Is sediment being introduced from the approaches at the crossing?YesNoYes	impacting natural stream dynamics
Trail re-route	Comments
Is there evidence of erosion or sedimentation on or originating from the new trail segment? Yes No If yes, answer the following questions.	
What is the evidence of erosion or sedimentation?	
rill erosion gully erosion sheet erosion rutting	
turbid water sediment plume or accumulation	2
change in substrate composition other (describe)	
What are the sources of erosion or sedimentation? Check all that apply:	
trail surface trail cut-slope trail fill-slope	
unhardened crossing approach poor trail location	
rolling dip, water bar, other drainage feature inadequate soil cover	
insufficient number of water control features improper grade	
improper construction of water control features bare ground on trail	
other (describe):	
Is the erosion or sedimentation impacting an aquatic feature? Yes No If yes, what type of aquatic feature?	
stream meadow wetland spring/seep fen	
other aquatic feature (describe:)	
Describe impacts to aquatic feature:	
Describe any work necessary to fix erosion/sedimentation issues associated with the re-route:	
Other corrective action (describe):	Comments
MA	
Has the corrective action resulted in negative impacts elsewhere? Yes No	
If yes, describe:	

Implementation Monitoring Form (3/2/15) BATTEH # Co

Is there evidence of motorized use off of the trail? Yes No		
If yes, describe: * trail not open to public At time of survey		
Is there evidence of chemical or fuel spills? YesNo		
If yes, describe:		
Criteria for Rating Standard & Guideline 100 of the SNFPA		
Does the road or trail intercept and divert surface and/or subsurface water from the aquatic feature such that the aquatic		
feature has decreased in size and/or wetness? Yes No If yes, describe in comments section below.		
Has runoff from the road or trail eroded sediment into the aquatic feature such that the size and/or wetness of the aquatic feature has been reduced? Yes No If yes, describe in comments section below.		
reature has been reduced? Yes No If yes, describe in comments section below.		
Has runoff from the road or trail caused a stream channel to downeut such that the water table has dropped and decreased		
the size and/or wetness of an aquatic feature? Yes No If yes, describe in comments section below.		
Comments:		
Comments: All corrective Actions Are functional		
4 effective		
Findings with Respect to Standard & Guideline 100		
Yes. S&G #100 is being met with regard to this trail and aquatic features.		
No. S&G #100 is NOT being met with regard to this trail and aquatic features.		
Must check yes to one or more of the questions above.		
I. Inconclusive. Field evidence not sufficient to determine if S&G 100 is being met.		
S&G 100: Maintain and restore the hydrologic connectivity of streams, meadows, wetlands, and other special aquatic features by		
identifying roads and trails that intercept, divert, or disrupt natural surface and subsurface water flow paths. Implement corrective actions		
where necessary to restore connectivity.		